

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,318	12/30/2003	Yan Yevmenenko	TER03-01	8016
7590 02/07/2005			EXAMINER	
David E. Huang, Esq. CHAPIN & HUANG, L.L.C.			TANG, MINH NHUT	
Westborough Office Park			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1700 West Park Drive			2829	
Westborough, MA 01581			DATE MAILED: 02/07/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/748,318	YEVMENENKO,	YEVMENENKO, YAN			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Minh N. Tang	2829				
T Period for R	he MAILING DATE of this communicati Leply	on appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence a	ddress			
THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the peri - If NO peri - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR I ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT is of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica od for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day of for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b received by the Office later than three months after the stent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, mition. s, a reply within the statutory minimum or period will apply and will expire SIX (6) y statute, cause the application to become	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered tim MONTHS from the mailing date of this me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.			
Status							
1)⊠ Re	sponsive to communication(s) filed or	n 30 December 2003.					
,—		This action is non-final.					
3) <u> </u>							
Disposition	of Claims						
4a) 5)	aim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are waim(s) is/are allowed.  aim(s) is/are rejected.  aim(s) is/are objected to.  aim(s) 1-21 are subject to restriction a	ithdrawn from consideration					
Application	Papers						
9)[] The	e specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
10)∐ The	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	placement drawing sheet(s) including the e oath or declaration is objected to by						
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	_ ' ' '	uments have been received uments have been received le priority documents have b Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No been received in this Nationa	al Stage			
Attachment(s)		<b></b>					
2) Notice of 3) Informati	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-90) On Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO) O(s)/Mail Date	948) Pape /SB/08) 5)  Notice	view Summary (PTO-413) r No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (P' r:	TO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/748,318 Page 2

Art Unit: 2829

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-5, drawn to a test system for testing electronics, classified in class 324, subclass 765.
- II. Claims 6-17, drawn to a control system, classified in class 324, subclass158.1.
- III. Claims 18-20, drawn to a method for insertion of a module into a card cage, classified in class 324, subclass 158.1.
- IV. Claim 21, drawn to a method for configuring a test system to authorize insertion of a module into a module holder, classified in class 324, subclass 765.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the test system can be used with any other control system. The subcombination has separate utility such as its intended purpose.
- 3. Inventions I & II and III & IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1)

Application/Control Number: 10/748,318

Art Unit: 2829

the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product of group I & II can be practiced by different methods other than the methods in group III & IV.

- 4. Inventions III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the method in group III is used for insertion of a module into a card cage meanwhile the method in group IV is used for configuring a test system to authorize insertion of a module into a module holder.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I & II is not required for Group III & IV, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/748,318 Page 4

Art Unit: 2829

8. A telephone call was made to Mr. Huang on February 03, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh N. Tang whose telephone number is (571) 272-1971. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:00-3:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor R. Ramirez can be reached on (571) 272-2034. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MINH NHUT TANG PRIMARY EXAMINER

02/03/05